this to

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{n}(k) & =(-1)^{n} 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2}} J_{2 n+1}(2 k t) d t \\
& =(-1)^{n} \sqrt{\pi} e^{-k^{2} / 2} I_{n+(1 / 2)}\left(k^{2} / 2\right)  \tag{5}\\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(n+r)!}{r!(n-r)!} l^{-2 r-1}\left[(-1)^{r+n}-e^{-k^{2}}\right], \quad n=0,1,2 \cdots .
\end{align*}
$$

This expression may easily be seen to be consistent with (4).
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## First One Hundred Zeros of $J_{0}(x)$ Accurate to 19 Significant Figures

By Henry Gerber

1. Introduction. Some physical investigations require a knowledge of accurate values of the zeros of the Bessel function $J_{0}(x)$. The most extensive values previously published are those of the British Association for the Advancement of Science [1], which consist of 10 decimal places. More accurate values have now been computed, and are presented in Table 1. The minimum accuracy of the tabulated zeros is 19 significant figures.
2. Method of Computation. Two methods were used to compute the roots. The first twelve roots were computed by the method of "false position." The values of

Table 1
The first one hundred roots of $J_{0}(x)=0$
( $n=$ Number of Zero)

| $n$ | $x_{n}$ | $n$ | $x_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.404825557695772769 | 51 | 159.43661116426314632 |
| 2 | 5.520078110286310649 | 52 | 162.57818866894667752 |
| 3 | 8.653727912911012216 | 53 | 165.71976674795502087 |
| 4 | 11.791534439014281615 | 54 | 168.86134536923582569 |
| 5 | 14.930917708487785948 | 55 | 172.00292450307820021 |
| 6 | 18.071063967910922545 | 56 | 175.14450412190274306 |
| 7 | 21.211636629879258960 | 57 | 178.28608420007377068 |
| 8 | 24.352471530749302736 | 58 | 181.42766471373105079 |
| 9 | 27.49347913204025479 | 59 | 184.56924564063871814 |
| 10 | 30.63460646843197512 | 60 | 187.71082696004935978 |
| 11 | 33.77582021357356869 | 61 | 190.85240865258152232 |
| 12 | 36.91709835366404398 | 62 | 193.99399070010911979 |
| 13 | 40.05842576462823929 | 63 | 197.13557308566141474 |
| 14 | 43.19979171317673036 | 64 | 200.27715579333241178 |
| 15 | 46.34118837166181402 | 65 | 203.41873880819864617 |
| 16 | 49.48260989739781717 | 66 | 206.56032211624447365 |
| 17 | 52.62405184111499603 | 67 | 209.70190570429407520 |
| 18 | 55.76551075501997931 | 68 | 212.84348955994948275 |
| 19 | 58.90698392608094213 | 69 | 215.98507367153401316 |
| 20 | 62.04846919022716988 | 70 | 219.12665802804056746 |
| 21 | 65.18996480020686044 | 71 | 222.26824261908431434 |
| 22 | 68.33146932985679827 | 72 | 225.40982743485932990 |
| 23 | 71.47298160359373282 | 73 | 228.55141246609881330 |
| 24 | 74.61450064370183788 | 74 | 231.69299770403853878 |
| 25 | 77.75602563038805504 | 75 | 234.83458314038324102 |
| 26 | 80.89755587113762786 | 76 | 237.97616876727566286 |
| 27 | 84.03909077693819016 | 77 | 241.11775457726802251 |
| 28 | 87.18062984364115365 | 78 | 244.25934056329568256 |
| 29 | 90.32217263721048006 | 79 | 247.40092671865282485 |
| 30 | 93.46371878194477417 | 80 | 250.54251303696995547 |
| 31 | 96.60526795099626878 | 81 | 253.68409951219308100 |
| 32 | 99.74681985868059647 | 82 | 256.82568613856441302 |
| 33 | 102.88837425419479460 | 83 | 259.96727291060447157 |
| 34 | 106.02993091645161551 | 84 | 263.10885982309547069 |
| 35 | 109.17148964980538355 | 85 | 266.25044687106588012 |
| 36 | 112.31305028049490963 | 86 | 269.39203404977606714 |
| 37 | 115.45461265366693963 | 87 | 272.53362135470493145 |
| 38 | 118.59617663087253172 | 88 | 275.67520878153745385 |
| 39 | 121.73774208795096296 | 89 | 278.81679632615308658 |
| 40 | 124.87930891323294604 | 90 | 281.95838398461491985 |
| 41 | 128.02087700600832408 | 91 | 285.09997175315956454 |
| 42 | 131.16244627521391461 | 92 | 288.24155962818769644 |
| 43 | 134.30401663830546610 | 93 | 291.38314760625521224 |
| 44 | 137.44558802028427779 | 94 | 294.52473568406495146 |
| 45 | 140.58716035285429655 | 95 | 297.66632385845894252 |
| 46 | 143.72873357368973253 | 96 | 300.80791212641113477 |
| 47 | 146.87030762579664959 | 97 | 303.94950048502058111 |
| 48 | 150.01188245695475749 | 98 | 307.09108893150503911 |
| 49 | 153.15345801922789249 | 99 | 310.23267746319496095 |
| 50 | 156.29503426853352382 | 100 | 313.37426607752784472 |

$J_{0}(x)$ corresponding to a given trial root $x$ were calculated by direct interpolation of the Harvard tables [2], which give $J_{0}(x)$ accurate to 18 decimal places. For $0 \leqq x \leqq 25$ the argument increment $h$ is 0.001 ; for $25<x \leqq 100$ the increment is 0.01 . Seven terms of the Newton-Bessel central difference formula [3] were used in the interpolation. This formula requires eight tabulated values of $J_{0}\left(x_{0}+m h\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}=\text { greatest tabulated argument not exceeding } x \\
& m= \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3,-4
\end{aligned}
$$

This method of computation has two advantages. First, in the vicinity of a zero of $J_{0}(x)$ the tabulated values consist of only 14 to 16 significant figures. The double-precision method of programming the IBM 7090 computer permits calculations with 17 significant digits. Thus, the above values of $J_{0}\left(x_{0}+m h\right)$, which serve as "constants" for the interpolation process, can be entered into the computer without error.

Secondly, the interpolation variable $u$ is given by the relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left(x-x_{0}\right) / h \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}<x<x_{0}+h \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of significant figures in the root, $x$, is thus equal to the sum of the number of significant figures in $u$ and $x_{0}$. An examination of the interpolation formula shows that fewer than two significant digits are lost because of round-off error. Consequently the variable, $u$, can be calculated accurate to 15 significant figures. Interpolation of the Harvard tables by means of double-precision computation thus gives the roots accurate to 18 decimal places for $x \leqq 25$, and 17 decimal places for $25<x<100$.

The roots of $J_{0}(x)$ can also be computed by the following asymptotic series given by Bickley and Miller [4]. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=1 /(4 n-1) \pi \quad n=1,2,3 \cdots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $n$th root $j_{0, n}$ is then given by the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& j_{0, n}=\left(n-\frac{1}{4}\right) \pi+\frac{c_{n}}{2}-\frac{31 c_{n}{ }^{3}}{6}+\frac{3779 c_{n}{ }^{5}}{15}-\frac{6277237 c_{n}{ }^{7}}{210} \\
&+\frac{2092163573 c_{n}{ }^{9}}{315}-\frac{8249725736393 c_{n}^{11}}{3465}  \tag{4}\\
&+\frac{847496887251128654 c_{n}^{13}}{675675} \cdots
\end{align*}
$$

The first one hundred roots were computed by means of Eq. (4). For $n$ equal to or larger than 11, roots calculated by the two methods agree to 17 decimal places. This agreement confirms the validity of Eq. (4), and confirms the accuracy of the corresponding zeros in Table 1. It is interesting to note that discrepancies in the 10th decimal place of $x_{n}$ occur between the data of Table 1 and the earlier tables at $n=4,5,8,41,45,85,95$, and 100 . These differences, which are all less than $1.2 \times$ $10^{-10}$, are presumably due to errors in the previous calculations.
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# Polylogarithms, Dirichlet Series, and Certain Constants 

By Daniel Shanks

The polylogarithms $F_{s}(z)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{s}(z)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{m}}{m^{s}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|z|<1$ and for the real part of $s \geqq 0$, and by analytic continuation for other values of $z$ and $s$. They can be regarded as functions of $z$, with a parameter $s$, given by the power series (1), or as functions of $s$, with a parameter $z$, given by the Dirichlet series (1).

Recently [1] we discussed the Dirichlet series defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{a}(s)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{-a}{2 k+1}\right)}{(2 k+1)^{s}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its analytic continuation, where $\left(\frac{-a}{2 k+1}\right)$ is the Jacobi symbol. It is expressible in closed form for three-quarters of all combinations of integers $a$ and $s$; namely, for $s \leqq 1$ and all $a$, for $s$ even and $>1$ if $a<0$, and for $s$ odd and $>1$ if $a>0$.

The remaining, non-closed form $L_{a}(n)$ for $a= \pm 2$, $\pm 3$, and $\pm 6$, with $n \leqq 10$, were computed [1] by a device, which (in essence) is based on the fact that all of the so-called characters modulo 8,12 , or 24 are real. In contrast, the corresponding $L_{a}(n)$ for $a= \pm 5, \pm 7$, and $\pm 10$, say, which were also desired, are not obtainable by that method, unless it is modified, since now some of the characters are complex.

We did, however, express $L_{a}(s)$ as a linear combination of the functions $S_{s}(x)$ or $C_{s}(x)$ for various values of $x$ determined by the integer $a$ [1, equations (24)-(27)]. These functions [1, equation (18)] are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{s}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2 \pi(2 k+1) x}{(2 k+1)^{s}} \\
& C_{s}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2 \pi(2 k+1) x}{(2 k+1)^{s}} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$
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